

香港中文大學 The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Contact: ericzzj89@gmail.com

Problem and Contribution

Goal: Detect salient and repeatable local features in blurred images.

Contributions:

- Propose a novel and efficient **MLP-based network architecture** for local feature detection, which has never been attempted for this task previously.
- Extensive experimental results demonstrate that our network achieves superior detection performance over prior works on motion blurred images, while keeping comparable performance for sharp images.
- Our motion blur robust keypoint detector is able to run in **real-time**, which would enable many timeconstrained applications (*e.g.* robotic navigation in low-lighting scenarios).

Method

BALF Framework:

- Pure MLP-based network
- MLP-based encoder and MLP-based detection module



MLPCoder Block:



MLP-Based Detection Module:

$H \times W \times 1$ $\frac{H}{2^N} \times \frac{W}{2^N} \times C$ Cha nape ise Softmax Channel-wise max. score 🗁 Detection score \mathcal{R}^k

Residual MLP Attention Block (RMAB):



BALF Simple and Efficient Blur Aware Local Feature Detector

Synthetic Motion Blurred Image Dataset: Blur-Hpatches

Zhenjun Zhao











Experiments and Results

Efficiency Results:

-		
Method	240×320 pixels \downarrow	480×640 pixels \downarrow
SIFT [34]	21.80	66.70
SURF [6]	148.46	165.78
Harris-LapLace [38]	110.41	377.13
Shi-Tomasi [56]	5.20	21.69
MSER [36]	64.19	221.79
KAZE [2]	105.85	298.43
AKZE [3]	18.02	56.93
FAST [47]	0.89	1.88
LIFT [74]	2209.03	4901.38
Key.Net [25]	15.64	35.82
SuperPoint [12]	2.41	3.98
LF-Net [41]	282.77	855.77
BALF (ours)	8.15	29.02

Evaluation on HPatches Dataset:

Evaluation on Blur-HPatches Dataset:

	Ret T	ference: Sharp arget: Sharp]	Reference: Target: B	Sharp Blur	R	Reference: Blur Target: Blur		
Method	Viewpoint \uparrow	Illumination \uparrow	Total ↑	Method	Easy ↑	HARD ↑	TOUGH ↑	Easy ↑	HARD \uparrow	Tough ↑	
SIFT [34]	60.29	60.44	60.36	SIFT [34]	55.92	56.80	53.49	56.99	53.49	45.94	
SURF [6]	62.67	64.01	63.33	SURF [6]	58.88	56.23	56.24	61.08	58.04	53.60	
Harris-Laplace [38]	63.89	62.91	63.41	Harris-Laplace [38]	36.70	37.97	34.98	35.76	31.95	27.47	
Shi-Tomasi [56]	69.28	64.13	66.74	Shi-Tomasi [56]	57.33	55.11	49.11	56.29	53.75	51.37	
MSER [36]	52.45	50.58	51.53	MSER [36]	44.19	41.97	37.05	41.81	38.24	34.59	
KAZE [2]	67.30	65.67	66.50	KAZE [2]	49.90	46.84	39.98	63.29	58.71	46.90	
AKAZE [3]	66.08	69.07	67.55	AKAZE [3]	54.15	50.51	45.49	65.16	62.20	51.54	
FAST [47]	66.08	63.65	64.88	FAST [47]	61.98	61.77	51.37	57.84	53.35	51.17	
LIFT [74]	56.97	60.73	58.82	LIFT [74]	50.69	50.17	46.99	48.34	46.57	46.53	
Key.Net [25]	68.99	67.47	68.24	Key.Net [25]	60.34	54.71	44.69	62.77	58.17	49.25	
SuperPoint [12]	69.53	68.92	69.23	SuperPoint [12]	65.64	62.22	52.84	58.60	50.03	43.28	
LF-Net [41]	68.41	73.61	70.96	LF-Net [41]	63.54	61.19	56.78	60.45	59.07	57.71	
D2-Net [14]	53.99	62.80	58.32	D2-Net [14]	49.71	47.30	44.32	51.80	51.05	50.53	
R2D2 [44]	61.68	61.93	61.80	R2D2 [44]	57.99	51.73	40.57	57.49	55.31	46.86	
BALF (ours)	67.21	73.51	70.28	BALF (ours)	74.12	74.45	71.84	70.48	68.43	67.71	

Evaluation on Blur-HPatches Dataset Preprocessed by Deblurring Network:

	Reference: Sharp Target: Deblur					Reference: Deblur Target: Deblur						Method	Reference: Sharp Target: Blur ↑	Reference: Blur Target: Blur	
	SRN-DeblurNet [60] DeblurGAN-v2 [24]			SRN-DeblurNet [60]			Deb	lurGAN-v	2 [24]	SIET [24]	60.52	60.02			
Method	EASY ↑	HARD ↑	TOUGH ↑	EASY ↑	HARD ↑	TOUGH ↑	EASY ↑	HARD ↑	TOUGH ↑	EASY ↑	HARD ↑	TOUGH ↑		56.40	00.03
01275 12 (1	5(()	55.26	52.02	57.0	5(50	5(50	50.75	50.12	50 (2	50.44	57.00	51.01	SURF [6]	56.49	60.03
SIF1 [34]	50.02	50.30	53.83	57.03	50.52	56.50	39.75	58.15	50.63	59.44	57.98	51.21	Harris-Laplace [38]	23.54	16.35
SUKF [0] Harris Laplaca [39]	01.89	59.15 16.97	54.88 20.54	01.97	39.37 16.00	20.24	02.44	01.20	32.27	02.07 37.00	35.07	55.09 31.54	Shi-Tomasi [56]	51.26	57.61
Shi-Tomasi [56]	60.56	56.87	20.34 48.78	61.75	10.90 50 10	20.24 51.56	63.18	61.03	50.88	63.58	61.89	53.76	MSER [36]	46.54	43.09
MSER [36]	46.65	43.23	37.90	47.62	45.14	40.70	47.70	45.40	37.49	47.84	45.56	38.01	KAZE [2]	49.35	48.86
KAZE [2]	65.14	63.10	60.16	65.23	63.18	61.41	64.20	62.45	53.41	64.13	61.87	54.19	AKAZE [3]	56 34	50 51
AKAZE [3]	66.03	64.02	60.64	66.29	64.50	62.72	65.71	64.08	56.10	65.75	63.75	57.35	FAST [47]	51.20	45.04
FAST [47]	61.77	59.67	61.60	62.00	60.44	58.74	62.72	61.14	50.61	63.40	61.70	55.43	FA51 [4/]	51.20	45.04
LIFT [74]	54.98	52.64	46.75	56.59	53.54	49.09	55.88	53.64	45.31	56.68	55.31	50.44	LIFT [74]	48.61	50.56
Key.Net [25]	63.28	58.01	47.10	63.99	59.16	49.35	62.86	60.44	50.74	62.73	60.58	52.96	Key.Net [25]	57.54	58.37
SuperPoint [12]	67.72	64.05	55.26	67.95	65.86	58.22	66.38	63.16	49.52	66.50	63.71	52.09	SuperPoint [12]	53.83	51.38
LF-Net [41]	62.22	59.90	54.73	62.59	60.24	54.81	63.06	62.03	57.28	63.00	61.79	57.85	LF-Net [41]	60.82	66.60
D2-Net [14]	51.81	49.49	45.94	52.64	50.21	45.88	53.60	53.00	50.93	53.93	53.29	50.74	D2-Net [14]	53.37	56.06
R2D2 [44]	60.31	55.43	43.26	60.46	55.68	45.38	58.11	54.80	45.77	57.95	55.03	47.86	D2-Net [14]	50.24	52.04
	74 12 / 74 45 / 71 84						70 48 / 68 43 / 67 71						K2D2 [44]	50.54	55.94
BALF (ours)	BALF (ours) (EASY / HARD / TOUGH)					(EASY / HARD / TOUGH)						BALF (ours)	75.68	75.15	









Paper, code, and video are available at: ericzzj1989.github.io/balf

Qualitative Results on RealBlur Dataset:



Evaluation on GoPro Dataset: